## EXHIBIT 10

25

2

3

4

5

6

7.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

(Case called)

MR. MOORE: Good afternoon, your Honor, Julian Moore for the government. With me at counsel table are my colleagues of the USA, Lisa Baroni and Matthew Schwartz. Also present are Special Agents Greg Hagarty and Paul Takla of the FBI. Jeff Steimel of U.S. Pretrial Services is also with us.

THE COURT: Good afternoon, Mr. Moore, Ms. Baroni, Mr. Schwartz, Agent Hagarty, Agent Takla, Officer Steimiel.

MR. ADELMAN: Good afternoon, your Honor, Martin Adelman for Mr. Kugel. The other gentlemen will introduce themselves.

THE COURT: Good afternoon, Mr. Adelman, and good afternoon, Mr. Kugel.

MR. ZELEKNO: Good afternoon, your Honor. Daniel Zelenko and Michael Blumenthal, also appearing on behalf of Mr. Kugel.

THE COURT: Good afternoon Mr. Zelenko and good afternoon, Mr. Blumenthal, and good afternoon, everyone in attendance.

I would just like to say, I understand there was a little bit of a miscommunication with respect to the door signage. I was conducting a trial in here this morning. As you can see, it is a fairly small courtroom. The sign should have said: Kugel on at 12:30, trial in progress, not abandon hope ye who entered here. Forgive us. It was just a matter of

1	not wanting to have a lot of unnecessarily disruptive activity
2	going on while the trial was going on. But I also assumed that
3	anyone who would have come in while the trial was going on
4	would not have been disruptive. Again, my apologies. There
5	was no meaning to offend. And I hope that none was taken.
6	It is my understanding that Mr. Kugel has an
7	application to waive indictment and enter a guilty plea to a
8	six-count superseding information which is labeled S4 10 Cr.
9	228.
10	Now, Mr. Adelman, would you be the principal one I
11	should speak to, or should it be Mr. Zelenko?
12	MR. ADELMAN: That's fine, yes, your Honor.
13	THE COURT: Mr. Adelman, is that correct?
14	MR. ADELMAN: Yes, ma'am, it is.
15	THE COURT: And the plea is pursuant to the agreement
16	that has been marked as Government Exhibit 1 and has been
17	executed and is at defense table. Is that correct?
18	MR. ADELMAN: Yes, it is, ma'am.
19	THE COURT: And an advice of rights form has also been
20	reviewed and executed and marked as Court Exhibit 1. Is that
21	correct?
22	MR. ADELMAN: Yes.
23	THE COURT: Now, I have a question for the government.
24	Mr. Moore, are you the principal one today?

MR. MOORE: Yes, today, your Honor.

THE COURT: Would you make a statement regarding victim notification in connection with this proceeding?

MR. MOORE: Yes, your Honor. As the Court is aware, last week we reached out via a letter to the Court requesting that today's proceeding be publicized. Your Honor so kindly issued that order. And as of that date, which I believe was Wednesday, December 16, we published on the U.S. Attorney's Madoff website that today's proceeding would be conducted and that Mr. Kugel, the defendant present in court today, would be pleading pursuant to the S4 superseding indictment to the counts that we will go through today.

THE COURT: Mr. Kugel, before I accept your waiver of indictment and your guilty plea, there are a number of questions that I must ask you while you are under oath to assure that it is a valid waiver and plea. At times I may cover a point more than once and I may cover matters that were also addressed in the advice of rights form that you have seen. But if I do so, that will be because it is very important that you understand what is happening here today.

In that connection, if you don't understand something that I ask you, please say so and I will reword the question or you may speak with your attorney.

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Ms. Ng, would you please administer the

1BLMKUGP1

Plea

1	oath or affirmation.
2	(Defendant sworn)
3	THE COURT: Would you please state your full name for
4	the record.
5	THE DEFENDANT: David Louis Kugel.
6 .	THE COURT: Mr. Kugel, do you understand that you have
7	solemnly promised to tell the truth and that if you answer any
8	of my questions falsely, your false or untrue answers may later
9.	be used against you in another prosecution for perjury or
10	making a false statement?
11	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.
12	THE COURT: You can be seated for the next portion of
13	the proceeding.
14	THE DEFENDANT: Thank you.
15	THE COURT: How old are you, sir?
16	THE DEFENDANT: 66.
17	THE COURT: And how far did you go in school?
18	THE DEFENDANT: I have a graduate undergraduate
19	degree.
20	THE COURT: In this particular field?
21	THE DEFENDANT: BBA in accounting, business
22	administration.
23	THE COURT: Since you finished your formal schooling,
24	what types of work have you done?
25	THE DEFENDANT: Brokerage firms, security work.

1BLMKUGP1

Plea

1	
1	medicine on the schedule prescribed and in the amount
2	prescribed?
. 3	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.
4	THE COURT: Is your mind clear today?
5	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.
6	THE COURT: Are you feeling well physically today?
7	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.
8	THE COURT: Are you represented by counsel here today?
9	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.
10	THE COURT: And who are your lawyers?
11	THE DEFENDANT: Martin Adelman, Dan Zelenko, and
12	Michael Blumenthal.
13	THE COURT: Messrs. Adelman, Zelenko and Blumenthal
14	and Mr. Moore, does any of you have any doubt as to Mr. Kugel's
. 15	competence to waive indictment and plead guilty at this time?
16	MR. MOORE: No, your Honor. And the government is
17	aware of Mr. Kugel's prescribed medication that he takes, as
18	indicated on page 3 of the pretrial services report.
19	THE COURT: Defense counsel.
20	MR. ADELMAN: The same answer, your Honor.
21	MR. ZELEKNO: Same, your Honor.
22	MR. BLUMENTHAL: Same also, your Honor.
23	THE COURT: Mr. Kugel, your attorneys have informed me
24	that you wish to waive indictment and enter a guilty plea to an
25	information.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Do you wish to waive indictment and plead guilty?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Have you fully discussed your case with your attorneys, including the charges to which you intend to plead guilty and any possible defenses to those charges?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Have you and your attorneys also discussed the consequences of entering a guilty plea?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Are you satisfied with your attorneys and their representation of you?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: On the basis of Mr. Kugel's responses to my questions and my observations of his demeanor, I find that he is fully competent to waive indictment and enter an informed plea at this time.

Before I accept your plea, sir, I am going to ask you some more questions. These questions are intended to satisfy the Court that you wish to plead guilty because you are in fact guilty and that you fully understand your rights and the consequences of your plea.

Now, I will describe to you certain rights that you have under the Constitution and laws of the United States. You will be giving up these rights if you enter a guilty plea.

Please listen carefully. If you do not understand something

that I'm saying or describing, stop me and I or your attorney 1 will explain it more fully. 2 Under the Constitution and laws of the United States, 3 you have the right to a speedy and public trial by a jury on 4 the charges against you that are in the information. 5 Do you understand that? 6 7 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. 8 THE COURT: Do you understand that you have the right to plead not guilty and to continue to plead not guilty? 9 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. 10 11 THE COURT: If there were a trial, you would be presumed innocent and the government would be required to prove 12 you quilty by competent evidence and beyond a reasonable doubt. 13 You would not have to prove that you were innocent at trial. 14 15 Do you understand that? THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. 16 17 THE COURT: If there were a trial, a jury composed of 18 12 people selected from this district would have to agree 19 unanimously in order to find you guilty. 20 Do you understand that? Yes, your Honor. 21 THE DEFENDANT: 22 If there were a trial and at all stages THE COURT: leading up to it, you would have the right to be represented by 23 an attorney. And if you could not afford one, an attorney 24

would be provided to you free of cost.

25

1	Do you understand that?
2	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.
3	THE COURT: If there were a trial, you would have the
4	right to see and hear all of the witnesses against you and your
5	attorney could cross-examine them. You would have the right to
6	have your attorney object to the government's evidence and
7	offer evidence on your behalf, if you so desired. You would
8	also have the right to have witnesses required to come to court
9	to testify in your defense, and you would have the right to
10	testify yourself, but you would not be required to testify.
11	Do you understand all that?
12	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.
13	THE COURT: Do you understand that if there were a
14	trial and you decided not to testify, no adverse inference
15	could be drawn against you based on your decision not to
16	testify?
17	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.
18	THE COURT: Do you understand that if you were
19	convicted at a trial, you would have the right to appeal that
20	verdict?
21	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.
22	THE COURT: Do you understand each and every one of
23	these rights that I have questioned you about?

THE COURT: Do you have any questions about any of

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

1 | these rights?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE DEFENDANT: No, your Honor.

THE COURT: Do you understand that by pleading guilty today you will be giving up each and every one of these rights, that you will be waiving these rights?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Do you also understand that you will be waiving any possible claim that your constitutional rights may have been violated and that you will have no trial?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: One moment.

Ms. Baroni, do you need water?

MS. BARONI: That would be great, your Honor.

THE COURT: Ms. Ng.

MS. BARONI: Thank you, your Honor.

THE COURT: Mr. Kugel, do you understand that by entering a plea of guilty you will also have to give up your right not to incriminate yourself because I will ask you questions about what you did in order to satisfy myself that you are guilty as charged and you will have to admit and acknowledge your guilt?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Do you understand that you can change your mind right now and refuse to enter a guilty plea. You do not have to enter this plea if you do not want to, for any reason.

## 1BLMKUGP1

## Plea

1	Do you understand this fully?
2	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.
3	THE COURT: And do you still wish to plead guilty?
4	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.
5	THE COURT: The document that contains the charges to
6	which you've indicated you wish to plead guilty is called an
7	information. It has been issued by the United States Attorney.
8	You have a constitutional right to be charged by an indictment
9	rather than an information. An indictment would be a charge
10	issued from a grand jury.
11	Do you understand that?
12	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.
13	THE COURT: Mr. Adelman, would you please show
14	Mr. Kugel the waiver of indictment form.
15	MR. ADELMAN: I have done so, your Honor.
16	THE COURT: Thank you.
17	Mr. Kugel, have you signed this waiver of indictment
18	form?
19	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.
20	THE COURT: Did you read it before you signed it?
21	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.
22 .	THE COURT: Did you discuss it with your attorney
23	before you signed it?
24	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.
25	THE COURT: Did you fully understand it before you

•	1BLMKUGP1 Plea
1	signed it?
2	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.
3	THE COURT: Do you understand that if you do not waive
4	indictment, if the government wanted to prosecute you on these
5	particular charges that are in the information, the government
6	would have to present the charges to a grand jury, which might
7	or might not indict you on them?
8	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.
9	THE COURT: Do you understand that you are under no
10	obligation to waive indictment?
11	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.
12	THE COURT: Do you understand that by waiving
13	indictment you are giving up your right to have the case
14	presented to a grand jury?
15	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.
16	THE COURT: Do you understand what a grand jury is?
17	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.
18	THE COURT: Did anyone promise you anything or
19	threaten you to get you to waive indictment?
20	THE DEFENDANT: No, your Honor.

THE DEFENDANT: No, your Honor. 20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: Have you seen a copy of the fourth superseding information? That's the charging instrument that says S4 10 Cr. 228 at the top.

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Have you read it?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Have you discussed it with your attorney?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Do you understand the charges against you that are detailed in the information?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: If you want me to, I'll read the information out loud now here in court. Do you want me to read it to you out loud?

THE DEFENDANT: No, your Honor.

THE COURT: I find that Mr. Kugel's waiver of indictment is knowing and voluntary. I accept it and I so order it.

Mr. Kugel, do you understand that Count One of the superseding information charges you with participating in a conspiracy in violation of Title 18 of the United States Code, Section 371 to commit securities fraud in violation of Title 15, Sections 78jb and 77ff, and 17, Code of Federal Regulations, Section 250 10B-5. Also to falsify books and records of a broker dealer in violation of Title 15, Sections 78qa and 78ff, and 17, Code of Federal Regulations, Section 240.17A-3, and also to falsify books and records of an investment advisor, in violation of Title 15, United States Code, Sections 80b-4 and 80b-17, and 17, Code of Federal Regulations, Section 275.204-2?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Do you understand that Count Two of the superseding information charges you with conspiracy in violation of Title 18, Section 371 to commit bank fraud in violation of Title 18, Section 1344?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Do you understand that Count Three of the superseding information charges you with securities fraud in violation of Title 15 Sections 78jb and 77ff, as well as 17, CFR, Section 240.10B-5, and Title 18, U.S. Code, Section 2?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Do you understand that Count Four of the superseding information charges you with falsifying books and records of a broker dealer in violation of Title 15, Sections 78qa and 78ff, 17, Code of Federal Regulations, Section 240.17A-3, and Title 18, Section 2?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Do you understand that Count Five of the superseding information charges you with falsifying books and records of an investment advisor in violation of Title 15, U.S. Code, Sections 80b-4 and 80b-17, 17, CFR, Section 275.204-2 and Title 18, U.S. Code, Section 2?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Do you understand that Count Six of the superseding information charges you with committing bank fraud

in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Sections 1344 and Title 18, United States Code, Section 2?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Do you understand that the government would have to prove each and every part or element of each of these charges beyond a reasonable doubt at trial if you did not plead guilty?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Mr. Moore, would you please explain the matters that the government would have to prove if we were to go to trial on the charges in the fourth superseding information?

MR. MOORE: Yes, your Honor.

With regard to Counts One and Two, the conspiracy counts, in order to prove the crime of conspiracy, the government must establish each of the following elements beyond a reasonable doubt: First, that the conspiracy charged in the information existed, in other words, that there was in fact an agreement or understanding to violate the laws of the United States; second, that the defendant knowingly, willingly and voluntarily became a member of the conspiracy charged; and, third, your Honor, that any one of the conspirators, not necessarily the defendant, knowingly committed at least one overt act in the Southern District of New York in furtherance of the conspiracy during the life of the conspiracy.

1.9

With regard to Count Three, your Honor, the securities fraud count, to establish a violation of Section 10B as charged in Count Three of the information, the government must prove each of the following elements:

First, that in connection with the purchase or sale of securities, the defendant did any one or more of the following: One, employed a device, scheme, or artifice to defraud; or, two, made an untrue statement of a material fact or omitted to state a material fact which made what was said under the circumstances misleading; or, three, engaged in an act, practice, or course of business that operated or would operate as a fraud or deceit upon a purchaser or seller.

Second, your Honor, that the defendant you are considering acted knowingly, willfully, and with the intent to defraud.

And, third, that the defendant used or caused to be used any means or instruments of transportation or communication in interstate commerce or the use of the mails in furtherance of the fraudulent conduct.

With regard to Count Four, falsifying books and records of a broker dealer: First, the government must prove beyond a reasonable doubt that at the time of the alleged offense, Bernard L. Madoff Investment Securities, which I'll refer to during the rest of these proceedings as BLMIS, was a registered broker dealer; second, that BLMIS failed to make and

1.2

keep certain accurate records as required under the SEC's rules and regulations; third, that the defendant aided and abetted BLMIS' failure to make and keep accurate records; and, fourth, that the defendant acted knowingly and willfully.

With regard to Count Five, falsifying books and records of an investment advisor, in order to prove this crime beyond a reasonable doubt the government must: First, prove that at the time of the alleged offense, BLMIS was in fact an investment advisor; second, that BLMIS failed to make and keep certain accurate records as required under the SEC's rules and regulations; third, that the defendant aided and abetted BLMIS' failure to make and keep accurate records; fourth, that the defendant acted knowingly and willfully; and, fifth, the offense involved the use of the mails and means of instrumentalities of interstate commerce.

Finally, with regard, your Honor, with regard to Count Six, the bank fraud count, the government must prove beyond a reasonable doubt that: First, that at the time of the alleged offense, the defendant executed or attempted to execute a scheme or artifice to defraud a bank or that the defendant executed or attempted to execute a scheme or artifice to obtain money owned by or under the control or custody of that bank by means of false or fraudulent pretenses, representations, or promises; second, that the defendant engaged in the scheme or artifice knowingly and willfully and with the specific intent

to defraud the bank; and, third, and finally, your Honor, that the bank involved was a federally-insured chartered institution.

THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Moore.

Mr. Kugel, do you understand the matters that the government would have to prove if you did not plead quilty?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Do you understand that the maximum possible penalty for the crime charged in Count One of the superseding information is five years of imprisonment, plus a fine of the greatest of \$250,000, twice the gain resulting from the offense or twice the loss to other people resulting from the offense, plus a \$100 special assessment, plus full restitution to all persons injured as a result of your criminal conduct, plus three years of supervised release after your term of imprisonment?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Do you understand that the maximum possible penalty for the crime charged in Count Two is five years of imprisonment, plus a fine of the greatest of \$250,000, twice the gain resulting from the offense, or twice the loss to other people resulting from the offense, plus a \$100 special assessment, plus full restitution to all persons injured as a result of your criminal conduct, plus three years of supervised release after your term of imprisonment?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Do you understand that the maximum possible penalty for the crime charged in Count Three is 20 years of imprisonment, plus a fine of the greatest of \$5 million, twice the gain resulting from the offense, or twice the loss to other people resulting from the offense, plus a \$100 special assessment, plus full restitution to all persons injured as a result of your criminal conduct, plus three years of supervised release after your term of imprisonment?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Do you understand that the maximum possible penalty for the crime charged in Count Four is 20 years of imprisonment, plus a fine of the greatest of \$5 million, twice the gain resulting from the offense, or twice the loss to other people resulting from the offense, plus a \$100 special assessment, plus full restitution to all persons injured as a result of your criminal conduct, plus three years of supervised release after your term of imprisonment?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Do you understand that the maximum possible penalty for the crime charged in Count Five is five years of imprisonment, plus a fine of the greatest of \$250,000, twice the gain resulting from the offense or twice the loss to other people resulting from the offense, plus a \$100 special assessment, plus full restitution to all persons injured as a

2

3

4

5

6

7

-8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

result of your criminal conduct, plus three years of supervised release after your terms of imprisonment?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Do you understand that the maximum possible penalty for the crime charged in Count Six is 30 years of imprisonment, plus a fine of the greatest of \$1 million, twice the gain resulting from the offense, or twice the loss to other people resulting from the offense, plus a \$100 special assessment, plus full restitution to all persons injured as a result of your criminal conduct, plus five years of supervised release after your term of imprisonment?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: And do you understand that the maximum possible combined penalty for the six crimes to which you propose to plead guilty is 85 years of imprisonment, plus a fine of \$11,750,000 or, if greater, the sums of the relevant gains, losses, and statutory amounts relating to your offenses, plus full restitution to all persons injured by your criminal conduct, plus a \$600 mandatory special assessment, plus supervised release for five years after your term of imprisonment?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: I will now give you some information and verify your understanding of the supervised release aspect of the potential penalty. Supervised release means that you will

1 ..

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

be subject to monitoring when you are released from prison.

Terms and conditions will be imposed. If you violate any of the set terms and conditions, you can be reimprisoned without a jury trial. If you are on supervised release and you do not comply with any of the set terms or conditions, you can be returned to prison for the remainder of the term of supervised release. You will be given no credit for the time that you served in prison as a result of your sentence and no credit for any time spent on postrelease supervision. For example, if you received a prison term and then a three-year term of supervised release and after you left prison you lived up to the terms of supervised release for two years, but then you violated some term of the supervised release, you could be returned to prison for three whole years.

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Do you also understand that if I accept your guilty plea and adjudge you guilty, that adjudication may deprive you of valuable civil rights, such as the right to vote, the right to hold public office, the right to serve on a jury, and the right to possess any kind of firearm if you currently have or otherwise contain such rights?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Do you understand that there are sentencing guidelines that the Court must consider in

THE COURT: Do you understand that the sentence to be imposed will be determined solely by the Court and that I can only determine the sentence to be imposed after the probation

23

24

25

department prepares a presentence report?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Do you understand that the Court has discretion while taking into account the specific provisions and policy statements in the guidelines to sentence you to any number of years of imprisonment between zero and the combined statutory maximums of 85 years?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Are you now serving any state or federal sentence or are you being prosecuted for any other crime?

THE DEFENDANT: No, your Honor.

THE COURT: Do you understand that the superseding information also includes a forfeiture allegation in which the government asserts that you are required to forfeit to the United States any and all property constituting or derived from any proceeds that you obtained as a result of the crimes charged in Counts One, Two, Three, Four, and Six, as well as any and all property traceable to such property, including but not limited to a sum of money representing the amount of proceeds obtained as a result of said offenses, totaling approximately \$3,585,000 as to each of Counts Two and Six, and \$170 billion as to Counts one, Three, and Four?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Mr. Adelman, would you please show

Mr. Kugel the agreement which has been marked as Government

1	Exhibit 1.
. 2	MR. ADELMAN: I have done so, your Honor.
3	THE COURT: Thank you.
4	Mr. Kugel, have you signed this agreement?
5	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.
6	THE COURT: Did you read it before you signed it?
7	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.
8	THE COURT: Did you discuss it with your attorney
9	before you signed it?
10	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.
11	THE COURT: Did you fully understand the agreement
12	before you signed it?
13	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.
14	THE COURT: Does the agreement reflect accurately your
15	complete and total understanding of the entire agreement
16	between the government, your attorney, and you?
17	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.
18	THE COURT: Is everything that you understand about
19	your plea, cooperation, and sentence covered in this agreement?
20	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.
21	THE COURT: Has anything been left out?
22	THE DEFENDANT: No, your Honor.
23	THE COURT: Has anyone made any promises to you other
24	than what's written in that agreement or threatened you or
25	forced you to plead guilty or to enter into the cooperation

1BLMKUGP1 Plea 1 agreement? 2 THE DEFENDANT: No, your Honor. 3 THE COURT: Do you understand that even if the 4 government does not oppose or take a position on what your 5 attorney will ask as a sentence, I am free to impose whatever 6 sentence I believe is appropriate under the circumstances and 7 the applicable law, and you will have no right to withdraw your 8 plea? 9 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. 10 (Continued on next page) 11 .12 13 14 15 16 17 - 18 19 20 21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: Do you understand that the agreement provides that you must cooperate fully with the office of the United States Attorney, the Federal Bureau of Investigation, and any other law enforcement agency designated by the United States Attorney?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Do you understand that the agreement does not bind any federal, state, or local prosecuting authority other than the United States Attorney?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Do you understand that the agreement provides that if the United States Attorney determines that you have provided substantial assistance in the investigation or prosecution and if you have fully complied with the understandings specified in the agreement, the United States Attorney will file a motion, pursuant to Section 5K1.1 of the Sentencing Guidelines, requesting that the Court sentence in light of the factors set forth in Section 5K5.1(a)?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Do you understand that the factors that the Court may consider in this connection include the significance and usefulness of your assistance, taking into account the government's evaluation of your assistance, the truthfulness, completeness and reliability of any information or testimony you provided, the nature and extent of your

assistance, any injuries suffered or any danger or risk of injury to you or to your family as a result of your assistance, and the timeliness of your assistance? Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Do you understand that even if the United

THE COURT: Do you understand that even if the United States Attorney files such a motion, the sentence to be imposed on you remains within the sole discretion of the Court?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Do you understand that you will not be entitled to withdraw your guilty plea even if the Court denies the government's motion?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Do you understand that if the United States Attorney determines that you have not provided substantial assistance in an investigation or prosecution, or that you have violated any provision of the agreement, the United States Attorney is not obliged to file a motion under Section 5K1.1 of the Sentencing Guidelines?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Do you understand that you will not be entitled to withdraw your guilty plea even if the United States
Attorney does not file the motion?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Do you understand that your agreement provides that if you commit any further crimes, or if it is

determined that you gave false, incomplete, or misleading testimony or information, or otherwise violated any provision of the agreement, you will be subject to prosecution for any federal violations of which the United States Attorney has knowledge, including perjury and obstruction of justice?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Do you understand that your agreement provides that if you commit any further crimes, or if it is determined that you gave false, incomplete or misleading testimony or information, or otherwise violated any provision of the cooperation agreement, all statements made by you to the United States Attorney or other designated law enforcement agents and any testimony given by you before a grand jury or other tribunal may be admissible in evidence in any criminal proceedings against you?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Do you understand that your agreement also provides that you may not assert a claim that such statements should be suppressed from evidence and that you have waived your right to claim that such statements should be suppressed?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Do you understand that page 3 of the plea agreement includes your agreement to forfeit to the United States any and all property constituting and derived from any proceeds that you obtained as a result of the unlawful

activities charged in Counts One, Three and Four of the Superseding Information, including, but not limited to, a sum equal to \$170 billion, and also to forfeit any and all property constituting and derived from any proceeds that you obtained as a result of the unlawful activities charged in Counts Two and Six of the Information, including, but not limited to, a sum of money equal to \$3,585,000 as to each count, as well as your right, title and interest in the specific property identified in the agreement?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Do you understand that you are specifically agreeing that the amount to be forfeited includes, but is not limited to, a sum of money equal to \$170,007,175,000 in United States currency as well as the specific property and that a judgment will be entered against you for at least that amount?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Do you understand that you are not obligated to admit the forfeiture allegation?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Do you understand that you are not obliged to agree to the forfeiture amount with the government?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: And do you understand that it is up to the Court to make a final determination as to forfeiture?

cooperate with the government.

25

As to Counts One, Three, Four and Five, I provided historical trade information to other BLMIS employees, which was used to create false, profitable trades in the Investment Advisory clients' accounts at BLMIS. Specifically, beginning the early '70s, until the collapse of BLMIS in December 2008, I helped create fake, backdated trades. I provided historical trade information -- sorry --first to Annette Bongiorno, and later to Joanne Crupi, and others which enabled them to create fake trades that, when included on the account statements and trade confirmations of Investment Advisory clients, gave the appearance of profitable trading when in fact no trading had actually occurred. I helped Bongiorno, Crupi and others create these fake, backdated trades based on historical stock prices and were executed only on paper.

Many of these false trades were based on trades that previously had been used in the Proprietary Trading operations at BLMIS. I was aware that the trades would be reported to BLMIS customers on their monthly statements and trade confirmations, and that the information was false.

I did this at the Madoff offices in Manhattan and known that many of the account statements and trade confirmations were mailed to clients from the offices in Manhattan.

I therefore plead guilty to the crimes alleged against me in Count One, Three, Four and Five. I did conspire with

other BLMIS employees to commit these crimes and, in fact, they were committed, in violation of the law.

Finally, as to Count Two and Six, I acknowledge that from at least from 2002 through 2007, on several occasions, I caused false financial information to be submitted to various financial institutions on my behalf and on behalf of other potential borrowers. The false financial information was submitted in connection for applications for mortgage loans.

I asked Joann Crupi to prepare documents that did not accurately reflect my assets and the assets of others at BLMIS and she did so. Those documents overstated the total value of my own and the other potential borrowers' holdings in accounts at BLMIS. These fake documents were submitted to financial institutions on my behalf and on behalf of other potential borrowers.

The false documents were prepared at BLMIS offices in Manhattan and submitted to federally-insured lenders by U.S. mail or wire transmissions.

THE COURT: Thank you. I have a couple of questions for you.

You used the term "conspired" in relation to Counts
One, Three, Four and Five. What do you mean by that?

THE DEFENDANT: When I conspired, I worked together with them to create the false trades that appeared on the Investment Advisory clients' statements and confirmations,

1	pursuant to agreement and understanding.
2	THE COURT: You had an agreement and understanding
3	with the other individuals you mentioned in order to create the
4	false trades?
5	THE DEFENDANT: That applied to historical
6	information, yes.
7	THE COURT: And you said that the customer information
8	regarding the false trades was mailed in the regular Postal
9	system, is that correct?
10	THE DEFENDANT: To the best of my knowledge, yes.
11	THE COURT: And the financial institutions to which
12	the false mortgage information, application information, was
13	given were banks; is that your understanding?
14	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.
15	THE COURT: Is it your understanding that they were
16	federally-insured banks?
17	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.
18	THE COURT: And when you were dealing with the false
19	trading history information and the false financial
20	information, did you know that that information was false?
21	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.
22	THE COURT: And did you know that what you were doing
23	was wrong and unlawful?
24	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.
25	THE COURT: Does the government have any further

1bldkug2

24

25

Plea

requests for factual matters to be addressed in Mr. Kugel's 1 2 plea allocution? Thank you, your Honor. 3 MR. MOORE: No. Mr. Adelman -- actually, before we do 4 THE COURT: that, Mr. Moore, would you please summarize the government's 5 evidence against Mr. Kugel? 6 Certainly, your Honor. 7 MR. MOORE: Had this case proceeded to trial --8 THE COURT: You will have to get up again in a minute 9 10 but it is your choice. 11 MR. MOORE: My apologies, your Honor. 12 Had this case proceeded to trial, the government would 13 have proven through testimony and evidence beyond a reasonable doubt the facts set forth in the Superseding Information. 14 15 Specifically, the government would have proven, with respect to Counts One, Three, Four and Five of the Information, 16 17 that Mr. Kugel was employed at the Bernard L. Madoff Investment Securities LLC, or "BLMIS," from in or about 1970 through at 18 19 least on or about December 11, 2008. BLMIS was both an 20 investment advisor and a market maker, your Honor. 21 Beginning in or about the 1970s, Kugel was a trader in 22 BLMIS's Proprietary Trading and Market Making operations. In 23 or about the late 1990s, your Honor, Mr. Kugel assumed a

managerial position on the trading floor and later took on the

role of Trading Floor Compliance Analyst. Beginning in or

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

about the early 1970s until the collapse of BLMIS in 2008, Mr. Kugel helped create fake, backdated trades for Mr. Madoff's Investment Advisory, or the "IA," business with his codefendants, Annette Bongiorno, Joann Crupi, as well as other individuals, your Honor. These fake, backdated trades -- many of which mimicked actual trades executed in connection with the Proprietary Trading operation -- were used to deceive the clients of the IA business and to give the appearance that actual trading occurred when, as Mr. Kugel and others well knew, no trading occurred at all in the IA account.

Beginning in or about the early 1970s, Bongiorno requested from Kugel backdated arbitrage trades to be used in Investment Advisory clients' accounts. On a regular basis, when the IA business had received money that was to be invested, Bongiorno told Kugel the amount of funds that she had available to purportedly invest on behalf of IA clients, which was typically in the millions of dollars. In response, Mr. Kugel provided Bongiorno historical information from which she created the fake trades. Specifically, Mr. Kuqel, using historical stock prices from the Wall Street Journal and other sources, he provided Bongiorno with the name of the stocks, the buy and sell dates of potential trades, as well as the historical price ranges of those stocks of the respective dates that she could use to make a profit. Often, this information mimicked trades previously executed in connection with limited

1.5

Plea

Proprietary Trading operation.

Mr. Kugel also gave Ms. Bongiorno the total volume of shares traded in particular stocks on certain dates so she would not exceed a particular stock's daily trading volume when creating the fake trades. Using the information provide to her by Kugel, Bongiorno then selected the particular stocks and historical purchase prices from the price ranges given to her by Mr. Kugel. Bongiorno selected different stocks and purchase prices to be used for each IA client in order to meet the rate of return predetermined by Madoff for that client. In doing so, Ms. Bongiorno calculated the number of shares that would have to be used in each IA client's account, based on both the amount of money the client had available to invest and the predetermined rate of return for each client as determined by Madoff.

Beginning in or about the early 1990s, Mr. Kugel similarly provided Ms. Crupi with historical price information to enable Crupi to create false, backdated arbitrage trades for IA clients. Using the information provide by Kugel, Crupi then selected the particular stock and historical purchase prices to be used for each IA client in order to meet the rate of return predetermined by Madoff for that client. In doing so, Crupi calculated the number of shares that would have to be used in each IA client's account based on both the amount of money the client had to invest and the rate of return predetermined by

1bldkuq2

Plea

Madoff.

In or about the mid-1990s, your Honor, Crupi took over the role of Kugel in selecting the historical information needed for the fake arbitrage deals. In doing so, Crupi selected the name of the stocks, the buy and sell date of a potential trade, as well as the historical price range for that date that she could use to make a profit. Crupi then created fake, backdated arbitrage trades that were executed on paper only in the IA clients' accounts.

With respect to Counts Two and Six, your Honor, the government would have proven that, separate and apart from the fake trades that Kugel, Bongiorno, Crupi, and others created at BLMIS, Kugel, with the assistance of Ms. Crupi and others, also defrauded numerous financial institutions in order to obtain loans using artificially-inflated financial information. On multiple occasions, Mr. Kugel and Crupi submitted false and misleading information concerning Kugel's assets and the assets of others to financial institutions in order to obtain loans for the purchase and construction of homes for Kugel and others. With the assistance of Crupi and others, Kugel and others obtained multiple million-dollar loans based on the submission of this fraudulent information.

THE COURT: Thank you.

Mr. Kugel, how do you now plead to the charge against you in Count One of the Fourth Superseding Information, not

•	Pg 40 of 53
	1bldkug2 Plea
1	guilty or guilty?
2	THE DEFENDANT: Guilty, your Honor.
3	THE COURT: How do you now plead to the charge against
4	you in Count Two, not guilty or guilty?
5	THE DEFENDANT: Guilty, your Honor.
6	THE COURT: How do you now plead to the charge against
7	you in Count Three, not guilty or guilty?
8	THE DEFENDANT: Guilty, your Honor.
9	THE COURT: How do you now plead to the charge against
10	you in Count Four, not guilty or guilty?
11	THE DEFENDANT: Guilty, your Honor.
12	THE COURT: How do you now plead to the charge against
13	you in Count Five, not guilty or guilty?
14	THE DEFENDANT: Guilty, your Honor.
15	THE COURT: And how do you now plead to the charge
16	against you in Count Six, not guilty or guilty?
17	THE DEFENDANT: Guilty, your Honor.
18	THE COURT: Are you pleading guilty to each of these
19	charges because you are in fact guilty of each of these
20	charges?
21	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.
22	THE COURT: Are you pleading guilty voluntarily and of
23	your own free will?

THE COURT: Mr. Adelman, would you please show

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

25

1bldkug2 Plea

1 Mr. Kugel Court Exhibit 1, the advice of rights form. 2 MR. ADELMAN: I have done so, your Honor. THE COURT: 3 Thank you. Mr. Kugel, have you signed this form? 4 5 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. THE COURT: Did you read it before you signed it? 6 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. THE COURT: Did you understand it before you signed 8 it? 9 10 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. 11 THE COURT: Did you discuss it with your attorney 12 before you signed it? 13 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. THE COURT: Mr. Adelman, did you also review and sign 14 15 Court Exhibit 1? 16 MR. ADELMAN: Yes, your Honor. 17 THE COURT: Mr. Adelman, are there any other questions 18 that you believe I should ask Mr. Kugel in connection with his 19 plea? 20 MR. ADELMAN: No, ma'am. 21 THE COURT: Mr. Moore, are there any other questions 22 that you believe I should ask Mr. Kugel in connection with his 23 plea? 24 MR. MOORE: No. Thank you, your Honor.

THE COURT:

Mr. Kugel, you have acknowledged that you

25

1bldkug2

24

25

Plea

are guilty as charged in the Information. I find that you know 1 2 your rights and that you are waiving them voluntarily. Because your plea is entered knowingly and voluntarily 3 and is supported by an independent basis in fact, containing 4 each of the essential elements of each of the offenses, I 5 accept your guilty plea and I adjudge you guilty of the 6 offenses charged in Counts One, Two, Three, Four, Five and Six 7 of the Fourth Superseding Information, to which you have pled 8 quilty. 9 10 You can be seated now. Thank you. 11 Mr. Adelman, do you wish to be present for any 12 interview of Mr. Kugel in connection with the preparation of 13 the presentence report? MR. ADELMAN: Yes, ma'am. 14 15 THE COURT: I will make that direction. 16 MR. ADELMAN: Thank you. 17 THE COURT: Do the parties have a particular request with respect to the setting of a sentencing date? 18 MR. MOORE: Your Honor, the government requests a 19 sentencing control date for six months from now and that a 20 21 presentence report not be ordered at this time. 22 THE COURT: Ms. Ng, may I have a date, please? 23 THE CLERK: Friday, May 4th, 2012, at 11 a.m.

THE COURT: May 4th at 11 a.m.?

Yes.

THE CLERK:

THE COURT: The sentencing control date is set for May 4th at 11 a.m.

Will the government inform the Court and the defense at such time that it believes that it is appropriate to prepare a presentence report?

MR. MOORE: We will, your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you.

Counsel, when it does come time for sentencing, please be certain to give any comments or objections promptly to the Probation Office, and to make your sentencing submissions in a manner consistent with my sentencing submission procedures, which are posted on the court's website and they are also available here in hard copy.

Mr. Kugel, at some point the Probation Office will be preparing a presentence report to assist me in sentencing you. You will be interviewed by the Probation Office. It is important that the information that you give to the probation officer be truthful and accurate. The report is important in what my decision is as to what your sentence will be.

You and your attorney have the right and will have an opportunity to examine the report, to challenge or comment on it, and to speak on your behalf before sentencing. Failing to be truthful with the Probation Office and the Court may have an adverse effect on your sentence and may subject you to prosecution.

Plea

	ł
1	Do you understand that?
2	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.
3	THE COURT: Now, I understand that there is a
4	actually, we need to deal with bail conditions, and we also
5	need to deal with the documents that I have been given relating
6	to forfeiture.
7	Is it the parties' request that I sign today the
8	Preliminary Order of Forfeiture and also the Stipulation and
9	Order relating to forfeiture issues?
10	MR. MOORE: Yes, your Honor. Thank you.
11	THE COURT: Any objection from the defense?
12	MR. BLUMENTHAL: No, your Honor.
13	THE COURT: And am I to sign the full and redacted
14	copy?
15	MR. SCHWARTZ: Yes, your Honor. Of course, we ask
16	that only the redacted versions be docketed.
17	THE COURT: Yes. And that the full version be filed
18	under seal?
19	MR. SCHWARTZ: Please, yes. The redactions are in
2.0	accordance with the court's local rules.
21	THE COURT: So relating to the privacy rules.
22	MR. SCHWARTZ: Correct. It is financial accountant
23	information and the names of minors.
24	THE COURT: Thank you.
25	(Pause)

1:3

Ms. Ng will take care of the entry of those orders.

I have reviewed the Pretrial Services' report. Do the parties have a joint application and recommendation with respect to bail pending sentencing?

MR. MOORE: Yes, your Honor.

In light of Mr. Kugel's cooperation, his full disclosure of his financial assets and his dealings that limits to the government, we are satisfied that he will follow the rules set forth by Pretrial Services in accordance with the proposed bail package we are prepared to present to the Court at this time.

Namely, we respectfully submit to you, your Honor, that the defendant's ball be set at a \$3 million personal recognizance bond to be secured by six financially-responsible persons and \$900,000 in cash or property. This property will, of course, have to be clean assets, wholly unaffiliated with BLMIS.

We further request that the defendant be subject to strict pretrial supervision, and that his travel be restricted to the Southern District of New York, the Eastern District of New York and the District of New Jersey.

We further request that he surrender all of his travel documents and make no new travel applications. We ask that this restriction also apply to his wife. In light of the holiday this week, your Honor, we request that the defendant

Plea

have until Thursday, December 1st, to meet these conditions.

To date, the government has already met with a number of the defendant's proposed suriters and has been satisfied with their financial and personal qualifications. These persons include close family members and friends of the defendant who have known him for decades and present strong moral suasion over the defendant to follow the conditions of his release.

The defendant has been working with our office and the FBI for quite some time now, your Honor. During this time he has been both been reliable, timely in making his appointments, and flexible with his schedule when the government requested meetings with him.

The defendant is a long-time resident on Long Island, and his family resides in that district, in the Southern

District of New York and the District of New Jersey. Moreover, your Honor, the defendant currently helps assist his elderly mother with her care, and that includes bringing her to visit his brother, who resides in the District of New Jersey.

Currently, this is his primary job responsibility.

As part of the cooperation process, your Honor, the defendant has filled out financial affidavits and worked with our Asset Forfeiture Unit at the U.S. Attorney's Office, detailing the amounts and whereabouts of all of his assets. He has worked closely with Mr. Schwartz in that regard, and has

Plea

fully accepted the fact that he must forfeit the vast majority of his assets.

As your Honor is aware, and I believe as the Court has issued today or will be issuing shortly, our Asset Forfeiture unit has presented forfeiture papers for your review in which the defendant has agreed to a forfeiture amount of over \$170 billion. And as part of that forfeiture, your Honor, the defendant has agreed to give up his home, luxury vehicle, monies maintained in several financial institutions, and other specific assets detailed in the papers before your Honor.

The government does not believe the defendant is a danger to the community.

For all of these reasons, your Honor, the government believes that the proposed bail package is reasonable and appropriate in this case for this individual defendant.

THE COURT: And the government believes, I take it, based on its thorough review of the financial situation and its dealings with Mr. Kugel over a lengthy period of time, that the evidence is clear and convincing that the package that has been proposed, including the delayed satisfaction of all of the particulars of the package, is sufficient to address the societal interests in proper appearance for proceedings in this case and protection of the public?

MR. MOORE: We do, your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you.

25

Did Pretrial Services wish to be heard further on 1 2 this? 3 MR. STEIMEL: No, your Honor. We stand by our report. THE COURT: Thank you. 4 And the defense has no objection to the set of 5 conditions? 6 7 MR. ADELMAN: No, ma'am. I was just going to endorse them by pointing out that Mr. Kugel, aside from these financial 8 9 considerations, is closely tied to his family, all of whom are 10 here in New York. His brother and sister are going to be 11 suriters. The brother is a dentist in New Jersey. 12 He is in contact with his aged mother on a frequent 13 His goal is to help her when she needs help. 14 daughter and son are here. His grandchildren are here. 15 no connections anywhere else in the world. I have the passports of Mr. and Mrs. Kugel with me to surrender to the 16 17 And I endorse the proposal that has been made. Your Honor doesn't have to hear this now, and I 18 19 apologize. At some point in time I will be requesting travel 20 permission for Mr. Kugel to go to Florida. He has a piece of 21 real estate, a house there, that he is obliged to sell to raise 22 funds for the forfeiture. He is going to be the one selling 23 it, not the government, and he has his personal property there. 24 So I will be in communication with your Honor to ask for such a

trip, and I expect you will remember what I just told you today

25

your decision yet.

in ruling on the application. 1 2 THE COURT: I have listened carefully to what you just I would simply ask that you speak -- before you make 3 the communication to my chambers, that you verify that there is 4 no objection to it from the government, from the Probation 5 Department, and that you write a letter reciting those 6 7 communications and the positions of those two aspects of the institution. 8 9 MR. ADELMAN: Yes, your Honor. 10 THE COURT: All right, then. 11 I am going to prepare a bail disposition sheet. 12 sorry. 13 MR. STEIMEL: Your Honor, I'm sorry --THE COURT: It is Pretrial Services, not Probation? 14 15 MR. STEIMEL: Yes, your Honor. Just one clarification. I know that defense counsel 16 17 indicated that the wife's passport was also going to be ordered 18 seized or surrendered to Pretrial Services. I was not clear 19 actually -- I'm not sure if I heard you say whether the wife's 20 passport was also supposed to be surrendered. Did you order 21 that? 22 THE COURT: I am going to. It was in the list of 23 conditions that -- I'm sorry, am I interrupting you? 24 MR. MOORE: No. I'm just saying you hadn't issued

THE COURT: It was part of the package as described by Mr. Moore.

And so what I was about to say was that I find, based on the review of the Pretrial Services' report and all of the representations that have been made to the Court, that the package as proposed is appropriate and sufficient to address the statutory concerns of appearance and protection of the community. Accordingly, I will approve release pending sentencing on the basis of these conditions.

Now I am going to prepare a bail disposition sheet on which I will summarize the conditions. I will read out to make sure that everyone agrees that I have reflected the appropriate conditions. So if you will bear with me, I will do that.

MR. ADELMAN: Your Honor, may I have a moment to consult with my brother and sister here?

THE COURT: Yes, you may.

MR. ADELMAN: Thank you.

(Pause)

THE COURT: This is what I have written.

\$3 million PRB with six FRPs; 900,000 security in cash and property (not derived from BLMIS or any activity that is the subject of the charges); strict supervision; travel restricted to S.D.N.Y., EDNY, DNJ; surrender passports of defendant and spouse, and no new applications. All conditions must be met by December 1, 2011.

going over the details of all of the conditions with you.

24

25

THE COURT: The Pretrial Services Department will be

1bldkug2

Plea

1	Counsel, is there anything further that we need to
2	address together this afternoon?
3.	MR. MOORE: Nothing from the government, your Honor.
4	MR. ADELMAN: Nor from the defense.
5	THE COURT: All right. Thank you. We are all
6	adjourned.
7	MR. MOORE: Thank you, your Honor.
8	MS. BARONI: Thank you, your Honor.
9	THE CLERK: All rise.
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

I (We) hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and accurate transcript, to the best of my (our) skill and ability, from my (our) stenographic notes of this proceeding.

Official Court Reporter & U.S. District Court